

Influence of the Calcaneus Shape on the Risk of Posterior Heel Ulcer Using 3D Patient-Specific Biomechanical Modeling

V. LUBOZ,^{1,2} A. PERRIER,^{1,2,4} M. BUCKI,² B. DIOT,^{3,4} F. CANNARD,² N. VUILLERME,^{4,5} and Y. PAYAN^{1,6}

¹UJF-Grenoble1/CNRS/TIMC-IMAG UMR 5525, 38041 Grenoble, France; ²TexiSense, Montceau-les-Mines, France; ³IDS, Montceau-les-Mines, France; ⁴UJF-Grenoble1/AGIM, 38041 Grenoble, France; ⁵Institut Universitaire de France, Paris, France; and ⁶Equipe GMCAO - Laboratoire TIMC-IMAG, Université Joseph Fourier - CNRS UMR 5525, Pavillon Taillefer, Faculté de Médecine, 38706 La Tronche cedex, France

(Received 27 June 2014; accepted 4 November 2014; published online 11 November 2014)

Associate Editor Alon Wolf oversaw the review of this article.

Abstract-Most posterior heel ulcers are the consequence of inactivity and prolonged time lying down on the back. They appear when pressures applied on the heel create high internal strains and the soft tissues are compressed by the calcaneus. It is therefore important to monitor those strains to prevent heel pressure ulcers. Using a biomechanical lower leg model, we propose to estimate the influence of the patient-specific calcaneus shape on the strains within the foot and to determine if the risk of pressure ulceration is related to the variability of this shape. The biomechanical model is discretized using a 3D Finite Element mesh representing the soft tissues, separated into four domains implementing Neo Hookean materials with different elasticities: skin, fat, Achilles' tendon, and muscles. Bones are modelled as rigid bodies attached to the tissues. Simulations show that the shape of the calcaneus has an influence on the formation of pressure ulcers with a mean variation of the maximum strain over 6.0 percentage points over 18 distinct morphologies. Furthermore, the models confirm the influence of the cushion on which the leg is resting: a softer cushion leading to lower strains, it has less chances of creating a pressure ulcer. The methodology used for patient-specific strain estimation could be used for the prevention of heel ulcer when coupled with a pressure sensor.

Keywords—Posterior heel ulcer prevention, Biomechanical model, Patient specific.

INTRODUCTION

Two-fifth of the patients being taken in charge by a reanimation or a geriatric unit will develop a pressure

ulcer. 40% of those ulcers are located on the posterior side of the heel, mostly because patients stay for hours lying on their back without moving.¹⁶ This condition is often followed by an amputation of part of the foot. In 2007, in the US alone, the cost of pressure ulcers was estimated to be 48 billion USD⁵ and survival rate after 5 years was only 50% following a foot amputation.¹⁷ The main factors of pressure ulcer development are the excessive intensity and the repetition of pressures applied on the foot. This is worsened when the patient suffers from diabetes with a neuropathy that reduces or even suppresses foot sensitivity. Three mechanisms, at least, are recognized as leading to pressure ulcerations^{14,12}: (1) ischemia caused by increased pressure duration, (2) high tissue strains created by increased pressure magnitude, and/or (3) tissue fatigue caused by increased number of pressure loads. Pressure ulcers created in reanimation or geriatric units mainly stem from the first two mechanisms.

Pressure ulcer prevention is mainly based on the patient's daily vigilance and on monitoring of the skin condition by the medical staff. When no obvious external signs are visible on the patient's skin, both the patient and the clinical staff tend to release their attention. Unfortunately, when the first actual symptoms appear, serious complications have already begun. Reducing the pressure load at the interface between the heel and the source of trauma prevents further ulceration and facilitates wound healing.¹ This can be done with devices such as casts, orthotics, insoles or foam bandages for example.

To assist patient monitoring, devices measuring pressures at the interface between the bed and the body were introduced few years ago. For example, Hill-Rom (http://www.hill-rom.com/) and DTH (http://www.dth.re/) proposed commercial devices comprising a

Address correspondence to V. Luboz, UJF-Grenoble1/CNRS/TIMC-IMAG UMR 5525, 38041 Grenoble, France. Electronic mail: vincent. luboz@gmail.com, vluboz@texisense.com, antoine.perrier@texisense. com, marek.bucki@texisense.com, b.diot@ids-assistance.com, francis. cannard@texisense.com, Nicolas.Vuillerme@agim.eu, Yohan.Payan@ imag.fr, ypayan@imag.fr

mattress with several pneumatic actuators allowing control over the pressure patterns underneath the patient. Such medical beds can change the levels of pressure beneath the buttocks, the heels, or the legs thus avoiding sustained local overpressures. Unfortunately, their price and their fairly limited efficiency (due to a limited number of pneumatic actuators) limit their relevance in reanimation and geriatric units in the case of a long term daily prevention routine. Moreover, their actions are also limited because these pneumatic systems alternate high and low pressures in a cyclic pattern which is not always the best suited option for the patient. Indeed measuring the pressures below the patient's body to predict tissues' internal stresses and strains and adapting the pneumatic actuators accordingly would be more efficient. However internal stress and strain estimation is not possible with simple pressure sensors at the interface between the skin and the bed.⁹ For example, a similar pressure distribution could be observed under the heel of a thin person with blunt calcaneus bone and a heavy person with sharp calcaneus bone even though the latter is probably more at risk. Indeed, as shown by Prof. Gefen,⁶ the likelihood of the formation of a pressure ulcer depends on the calcaneus bone curvature as well as the thickness of the soft tissues underneath. In this article, Prof. Gefen used an analytical model to study the influence of the calcaneus' shape and the elasticity of the soft tissues on the internal deformations. Although the calcaneus was modeled as a simple sphere (Hertz analytic model) and the soft tissues as a flat volume, the study suggested that atypical foot anatomies (characterized by heavy-weight foot, sharp posterior calcaneus and thin soft tissue padding) are theoretically more prone to heel ulcers.

In order to take into account these anatomical differences and to quantitatively assess the internal stresses and strains from the measured external pressures, several studies have proposed (1) to build a patient-specific biomechanical model of the foot including soft tissues and bony prominences, and (2) to use this numerical model to compute the internal strains and stresses (in real-time wherever possible). Ledoux et al.⁸ modelled the soft tissues under the foot (skin, fat and muscles) as a Finite Element (FE) mesh with a homogeneous linear elastic material, the bones as another set of rigid FE meshes, the joints' actions as contacts between the bones, and the ligaments connecting the bones located in the mid foot as cables. Chen *et al.*³ proposed a more realistic version of an FE foot model including almost all the foot ligaments and using a large deformations framework with a Mooney Rivlin constitutive law for the soft tissues of the whole foot. Even though this model is fairly complete, it lacks computational efficiency and does not separate the



different tissue types. These goals were partially addressed by the model of Luboz *et al.*¹³ In this work, the foot soft tissues are represented as four different Neo Hookean materials implementing respectively the plantar skin, the non-plantar skin, the fat, and the muscles. Bones are modeled as rigid bodies. Focusing on the heel, Sopher *et al.*¹⁸ used an FE model with different tissue layers to study the effects of two foot postures on different supports (simulating the bed supporting the heel).

Nevertheless, none of these works have studied the consequences of the variability in the shape/morphology of the calcaneus bone in terms of risks of pressure ulceration. The four models listed above were indeed based on the morphology of a single patient; it was therefore not possible to evaluate the effect of different calcaneus shapes on the internal strains (in the remainder of the article only the strains will be considered as this seems to be the current consensus in terms of pressure ulcer etiology¹²). In addition, these models omit the calf in their simulation despite the known fact that this structure plays an important role on the pressure applied on the heel while lying down. The aim of the present work is therefore to quantify the influence of various calcaneus shapes on the risk of pressure ulceration. This risk is assessed by quantifying the internal strains and the amount of tissue volume involved in the deformation. To this end, (1) the biomechanical foot model presented in Luboz et al.13 was extended to include the calf as well as an FE model of a cushion composed of three compartments (under the heel, the Achilles tendon and the calf); and (2) calcaneus shapes collected from 18 patients (Fig. 1) were used to create 18 distinct FE models. These models were built from the same external foot geometry which means that only the calcaneus shape changes from one model to the other (Fig. 2). We therefore expect the simulated internal strains to vary below the heel from one subject to another while being almost constant below the calf, where all 18 subjects share the same simulated morphology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Each biomechanical model described in this study was implemented on the 3D simulation platform, ArtiSynth¹⁰ (www.artisynth.org).

Heel Model Generation

The geometry of the domain of the biomechanical model shared by all subjects (i.e., before the inclusion of each specific calcaneus bone) is based on the Zygote database (www.zygote.com). Surfaces from the lower



FIGURE 1. The 18 calcaneus bones extracted from CT scans and used to create the 18 different FE models.



FIGURE 2. Example of two meshes, zoomed on the heel: 1a, for patient #1's heel and 11a, for patient #11's heel.

leg's skin, muscles, and bones, as well as the Achilles tendon were extracted from this database. The calcaneus alone was left out to be replaced by each of the 18 bones in the database (Fig. 1). To make sure that the same amount of tissues were present between the calcaneus and the skin, all 18 calcanei were positioned so that their most posterior (lower) tips would be super-imposed (Fig. 3).

Based on these surfaces, an automatic FE mesh generator¹¹ was used to generate a mesh of the muscles, fat and skin layers with a minimum of tetrahedral (to limit the locking effect observed in quasi-incompressible assumptions) while keeping a smooth and accurate boundary between the different structures using transition elements such as pyramids and wedges. This led to a set of 18 meshes having approximately 122,000 elements, including approximately 29,000 hexahedrons, 38,000 pyramids, 28,000 wedges, and 27,000 tetrahedrons, for an approximate total number of nodes of 66,000. To keep the number of elements as low as possible while maintaining a high density in the region of interest, about a third of the leg soft tissues (above the tibia) was longitudinally removed (Fig. 4). This removal has no influence on the

simulation results as the main deformations are recorded below the calf and the heel and the bony structures prevent them from spreading in the soft tissues above the leg bones. The mesh generator left holes in the soft tissue mesh to simulate the bones, implemented as rigid bodies.

During the simulation, the leg lies on a cushion whose geometry was created in Blender (www. blender.org) to represent a typical pneumatic cushion used on geriatric beds (Fig. 4).

Heel Soft Tissues Materials

The FE mesh has four layers of soft tissues: skin, fat, Achilles tendon, and muscles. They are modeled using four different compressive Neo Hookean materials² with respective Young moduli set to 200 kPa for the skin, 30 kPa for the fat, 1 GPa for the tendon, and 60 kPa for the muscles, as shown in Fig. 4. Such a material takes into account large deformations, is fairly stable at compressive strains and exhibits characteristics that can be identified with the familiar material parameters found in linear elastic analysis. Assuming these tissues are quasi-incompressible, we set





FIGURE 3. Example of six of the 18 calcaneus bones artificially overlaid on the other bones' surfaces.

their Poisson ratio to 0.495, except for the fat for which a value of 0.49 is used. These values were proposed by Sopher *et al.*¹⁸

A single 1 mm thick layer of elements is used to simulate the skin. It completely surrounds the leg except on the tibial anterior and proximal knee clip planes. The shapes of both the muscle volume and the Achilles tendon were defined from the surface of the Zygote database. In the current modeling approach, no ligaments were modeled except for the Achilles tendon which is assumed to have a major influence in the occurrence of posterior heel pressure ulcer.⁴ The fat volume was defined as the domain between the muscle/ bone/tendon domain and the inner skin layer. The elements in each distinct domain were assigned the corresponding elastic parameters (Fig. 4).

The cushion was discretized with finite elements using the same automatic mesher. It is composed of 5841 elements including 5251 hexahedrons and 590 wedges respectively, for a total of 7080 nodes. It is modelled using a Neo Hookean material of varying Young's moduli, to simulate the different pressures inside the cushion, and with a Poisson ratio of 0.495 to simulate quasi-incompressibility. The Young's moduli chosen for the cushion are chosen to produce a pressure at the interface between the leg and the cushion ranging between 1 and 8 N cm^{-2} in order to reproduce interface pressure measurements acquired with a Zebris pressure sensor (www.zebris.de), for a 70 kg young healthy subject in supine position. It leads to Young moduli varying from 10 to 500 kPa. The cushion is split into three sections of equal lengths corre-



Boundary Conditions

To model the interactions between the leg and the cushion, the leg is dropped from 1 mm above the cushion and is subject to gravity. The leg's motion is controlled by simulated hip and knee joints, both modelled as cylindrical joints allowing rotation around one axis. The surface FE nodes on the tibia, fibula, foot bones as well as those lying on the anterior and proximal clip planes are rigidly linked to these leg bones to model soft tissues attachments. No sliding between the soft tissues and the bones is assumed. Overall, the bones (femur not included) and the soft tissues weight 4.2 kg in our simulation (assuming an individual weighing 70 kg and based on body proportions defined by Harless⁷ who reported that the lower leg and foot weigh 6% of the individual's total weight).

The simulation ends when the leg has stopped moving and rests in equilibrium on the cushion. Five simulations are performed for each of the 18 calcaneus shapes: (1) with the cushion completely soft (i.e., with a pressure interface between the leg and the cushion of approximately 1 N cm⁻²), (2) with the section below the calf mildly inflated but the rest of the cushion soft (i.e., with an interface pressure between the heel and the cushion around 1 N cm⁻² and between the calf





FIGURE 4. Top: Finite element model of the lower leg, from heel to knee, lying on the cushion. To avoid having too many elements, the top part of the leg soft tissues are not included. Bottom: The four types of materials defining the lower leg FE model: skin (only one layer of elements around the leg, except above the tibia and near the knee), tendon, muscles (in red), and fat (in yellow). The bones are simulated by fixed nodes at their interfaces with the soft tissues.

and the cushion around 1.5 N cm^{-2}), (3) with the section below the heel mildly inflated but the rest of the cushion soft (i.e., with an interface pressure between the heel and the cushion around 3.5 N cm^{-2} and between the calf and the cushion around 1 N cm^{-2}), (4) with all the section mildly inflated (i.e., with an interface pressure between the heel and the cushion around 2 N cm^{-2} and between the calf and the cushion around 1 N cm^{-2}), (4) with all the section mildly inflated (i.e., with an interface pressure between the heel and the cushion around 2 N cm^{-2} and between the calf and the cushion below the heel highly inflated but the rest of the cushion soft (i.e., with an interface pressure between the heel and the cushion around 8 N cm^{-2} and between the calf and the cushion around 1 N cm^{-2}).

RESULTS

Each simulation takes about 70 min on a PC with an INTEL CORE QUADRO i7 at 3.4 GHz and 8 Gb of RAM.

Given that pressure ulcers mostly result from high internal strains, the maximum Von Mises strain is monitored to assess the level of soft tissue compression in the model during each simulation. This criterion was also used in previous studies9,15 and is corroborated by the work of Loerakker et al.¹² stating that prolonged pressures leading to strains above 20% in the soft tissues for more than 2 h can lead to pressure ulcers. Furthermore, this work¹² showed that even compressions lasting around ten minutes can lead to ulcers if they induce tissue strains above 50%. These 20 and 50% Von Mises (VM) strain thresholds were consequently monitored in our simulations. In addition to that, the volume of the largest zone with contiguous nodes with VM strains above 20 and 50% are monitored to observe the size of the region where pressure ulcers potentially occur. These regions are referred to as "clusters" in the rest of the paper. This paradigm is less subject to numerical instabilities than the maximal VM strain value alone and the associated volume gives



a better idea of the level of tissue suffering in each simulated situation.

Table 1 summarizes the results obtained for all the simulations. For each of the five simulated cushions, the table gives the average on all 18 subjects of the volumes of the largest cluster with a VM strain above 20%, the volume of the largest cluster with a VM strain above 50%, and the average of the maximum VM strains in these clusters. For these data, Table 1 also provides the standard deviation in mm³ and in % and the deviation in percentage points. It is consequently possible to see that in the first case (i.e., soft cushion with a pressure interface between the leg and the cushion around 1 N cm⁻²), some patients (10 out of 18) barely pass the 20% VM strain threshold limit and a mean cluster volume of 33 mm³ can then be observed. For a cushion with one or more of its sections mildly inflated (case 2, 3 and 4), the VM strain threshold limit of 20% is reached for all 18 patients. We observe a cluster of 223 mm³ and a maximum VM strain of 23.9% in case 2, when the section below the calf is mildly inflated while the rest of the cushion remains soft (i.e., with an interface pressure between the heel and the cushion around 1 N cm^{-2} and between the calf and the cushion around 1.5 N cm^{-2}). The standard deviations for both the cluster volume and the VM strain are close to null, showing that the differences in the calcaneus bone shapes do not influence the risk of heel pressure ulcer creation when the cushion is more inflated under the calf (case 2 in Table 1). When the section below the heel is mildly inflated while the rest of the cushion is soft (i.e., with an interface pressure between the heel and the cushion around 3.5 N cm^{-2} and between the calf and the cushion around 1 N cm⁻²), a cluster of 2698 mm³ and a maximum VM strain of 34.8% are observed. The standard deviation for the cluster volume is 327 mm³ (12.1 percentage points (ppt)) while it is 3.6% for the VM strain (10.4 ppt), showing that the differences in the calcaneus bone shapes do influence the risk of pressure ulcer creation when the cushion is more inflated under the heel (case 3 in Table 1). When the cushion is uniformly mildly inflated (i.e., with an interface pressure between the heel and the cushion around 2 N cm⁻² and between the calf and the cushion around 1 N cm⁻²), a cluster of 98 mm³ and a maximum VM strain of 20.6% are recorded. The standard deviation for the VM strain is close to 0 showing that the differences in the calcaneus bone shape do not influence this factor. Nevertheless, the standard deviation of the cluster volume is 33 mm³ (33.8 ppt) showing that this factor (and the associated risks for pressure ulcers) is influenced by the calcaneus shape in this cushion configuration. Last, but not least, a cluster of 4332 mm³ and a maximum VM strain of



50.6% are observed when the section below the heel is highly inflated while the rest of the cushion is soft (with an interface pressure between the heel and the cushion around 8 N cm⁻² and between the calf and the cushion around 1 N cm⁻²). The standard deviation for the cluster volume is 509 mm³ (11.8 ppt) while it is 3.0% for the VM strain (6.0 ppt). It is important to note here that only 6 of the 18 patients reach the 50% VM strain threshold limit. These results show again that the differences in the calcaneus bone shapes do influence the risk of pressure ulcer creation when the cushion is more inflated under the heel (case 5 in Table 1). Furthermore, in this last case, the VM strain threshold of 50% is exceeded and a cluster of 46 mm³ and a maximum VM strain of 52.5% are recorded.

Looking more into details, Fig. 5 plots the strains and "20% clusters" volumes computed with the 18 calcaneus bones shapes. It is particularly interesting to note here that very significant differences can be observed in the maximal strains and corresponding volume values (for example, 31.3% and 2.31 cm³ for case #1; 42.5% and 3.38 mm³ for case #11, Fig. 6). This example clearly illustrates and quantifies the huge influence of the shape of the calcaneus bone on the computed internal strains values.

Finally, we note that in all those cases the maximum VM strain and the corresponding cluster are located at the interface between the fat and the calcaneus (Fig. 7). When it is observed in the calf, this maximum is located at the interface between the fat and the muscle layer.

DISCUSSION

The cluster volumes and VM strains presented in Table 1, Figs. 5 and 7, exhibit important variations between patients when the cushion is more inflated underneath the heel. Results obtained with the 18 models indeed highlight the paramount influence of the shape of the calcaneus bones on the volume of the largest cluster with strains above the 20 and 50% thresholds. We can conclude that the patient's individual morphology must be taken into account to assess the pressure ulceration risk level and no generic rule can be derived based on interface pressures alone. As concerns the 20% threshold, our models show high cluster volume variability: 327 mm³, which represents 12.1% of the total mean volume, when mildly inflated and 509 mm³, which represents 11.8% of the total mean volume, when highly inflated. The numerical experiments also indicate important variability of the maximum VM strains: 10.4 ppt when mildly inflated and 6.0 ppt when highly inflated, although the maximal strain measure alone should be considered with

Cushions' stiffness	(1) All soft	(2) All soft and calf mild pressure	(3) All soft and heel mild pressure	(4) All mild pressure	(5) All soft and heel high pressure
Mean volume (in mm ³) of the maximum cluster with a VM strain above 20%	33 ^a	223	2698	98	4332
Volume standard deviation in mm ³	23 ^a	60	327	33	509
Deviation in %	68.4 ^a	26.9	12.1	33.8	11.8
Mean maximum VM strain in %	22.4% ^a	23.9%	34.8%	20.6%	50.6%
Maximum VM strain standard deviation in %	2.0% ^a	1.4%	3.6%	0.6%	3.0%
Deviation	8.9 ^a	5.9	10.4	2.9	6.0
Mean volume (in mm ³) of the maximum cluster with a VM strain above 50%	0	0	0	0	46 ^b
Volume standard deviation in mm ³	0	0	0	0	28 ^b
Deviation in %	0	0	0	0	59.8 ^b
Mean maximum VM strain in %	0	0	0	0	52.5% ^b
Maximum VM strain standard deviation in %	0	0	0	0	1.8 ^b
Deviation	0	0	0	0	3.4 ^b

TABLE 1.	Summary of the	simulations for	the 18	calcaneus	shapes.
----------	----------------	-----------------	--------	-----------	---------

For each of the VM strain thresholds of 20 and 50%, the largest cluster volume below the heel, the standard deviation and the deviation in percentage points, the maximum VM strains below the heel, the standard deviation and the deviation in percentage points are averaged for five types of cushions: (1) completely soft, (2) completely soft except the calf section which is mildly inflated, (3), completely soft except the heel section which is mildly inflated, (4) uniformly mildly inflated, and (5) completely soft except the heel section which is highly inflated. Note that the figures given for the first column is an average of only 10 of the 18 patients as only 10 of them reach the 20% threshold.

^aOnly 10 subjects reach the 20% threshold.

^bOnly 6 subjects reach the 50% threshold.



FIGURE 5. Strains and 20% cluster volumes computed for the 18 lower leg models, including different calcaneus bones shapes, for a cushion in case (3): soft pressures under the calf and Achilles' tendon, and a mild pressure under the heel.

care given the many potential sources of local strain overestimation. This observation confirms the study made by Prof. Gefen⁶ on the above-mentioned analytical heel model. The results also suggest that both the part of the cushion being inflated as well as the magnitude of the interface pressures have an influence on the risk of pressure ulcer creation. Our results confirm the intuitive idea that a completely soft cushion should not create a pressure ulcer rapidly (but it could in the long run for some patients) while a cushion highly inflated below the back of the heel could create a pressure ulcer in about 10 min since a mean maximum VM strain of 52.5% (above the risk threshold of 50% provided by Loerakker *et al.*¹² is recorded with a cluster volume of 46 mm³. However this volume represents only about five elements in our





FIGURE 6. Example of two simulations with a cushion in case (3): soft pressures under the calf and Achilles' tendon, and a mild pressure under the heel. The top line shows the mesh for patient #1's heel in its final state (1a), and the Von Mises strains in the final state (1b), with a maximum at 31.3%. The bottom line shows the mesh for patient #11's heel in its final state (11a), and the Von Mises strains in the final state (11b), with a maximum at 42.5%. In both cases, the maximum Von Mises strain value of the scale (in red on the figure) is set to 45%.

mesh and the interpretation of this result is therefore prone to uncertainty. To clarify the situation, the mesh would have to be locally refined and the cluster volume recomputed in order to enhance the solution accuracy—which was not done in this study. When the cushion has a section mildly inflated, below the calf or below the back of the heel, the apparition of a short term pressure ulcer seems unlikely but it is clear that it could happen in about 2 h as it exceeds the 20% threshold provided by Loerakker et al.,¹² with a significant cluster volume of 223 mm³ and a mean maximum strain of 23.9% for the cushion mildly inflated below the calf, and a cluster volume of 2698 mm³ and a mean maximum strain of 34.8% for the cushion mildly inflated below the heel. The cluster volumes mentioned above seem relevant given the refinement of the FE mesh as they contained more than 30 elements. This observation about the influence of the cushion corroborates the work by Tenenbaum et al.,¹⁹ which used MRI to measure the "global" strains (i.e., strains computed by measuring the deformed/undeformed soft tissue height ratio between the calcaneus and the skin) of the heel soft tissues of 10 subjects for three different supports below the heel. The authors showed



that heel padding devices have a significant effect on reducing the extent of deformations in the soft tissues, and that the features of their design have substantial influence on tissue deformations. Furthermore, the ranges of "global" strain values found in Tenenbaum *et al.*¹⁹ are similar to the "local" internal strains (VM strains measured at FE nodes) found in our study: between 20 and 60%, depending on the type of padding. Our simulations also give the opportunity to evaluate the volume of tissue undergoing deformation, which could be used to determine the volume of tissues at risk of pressure ulcers.

The main limitation of our models concerns the choice of the Young moduli for the materials of the Neo Hookean constitutive law. We chose a Neo Hookean law to simulate realistically the quasiincompressibility of the soft tissues and to model the large deformations due to the contact with the cushion. The choice of the mechanical parameters for each soft tissue layer is based on the literature^{6,4} and could very well differ from a subject to another. We decided to use constant mechanical properties to avoid hindering the influence of the calcaneus bone geometry in this study. The subject-specific variation of the soft tissues



FIGURE 7. Cluster of the nodes with VM strains above 20% when the cushion is highly inflated below the heel and completely soft elsewhere. The maximum VM strain is located under the back of the heel, at the interface between the fat and the calcaneus bone. The VM strain goes from close to 0% (in blue) to 55.7% (in red).

properties would nonetheless need to be specifically studied in future work.

Another limitation of this work is the choice of a Neo Hookean material to simulate a cushion filled with compressed air (or fluid). This approximation was done to speed up the computation of the simulation by avoiding the integration of a fluid in the solver. To avoid modeling the air in the cushion as a fluid, we monitored the pressures at the interface between the cushion and the skin and we chose the material properties of the cushion in order to be lower than the maximal threshold recorded with the Zebris pressure sensor which constitutes a hard support generating a high pressure of 8 N cm² under the heel for a 70 kg young healthy subject lying on his back. For a more accurate simulation, modeling the cushion as an envelope filled with air would be a better choice and the simulated cushion pressures could be used to drive the design of mattresses used in geriatric or reanimation units. In this study, the cushion pressure was not modelled and we rather relied on interface pressures which in our clinical experience cover most of real life



situations. No simulation was done with a higher pressure under the Achilles tendon because the skin layer is very thin at this location, and that there is no fat to protect the tendon. As a consequence, a higher cushion pressure here would result immediately in high VM strains leading to higher risks of pressure ulcer creation.

CONCLUSION

The study presented in this article suggests that there is an influence of the calcaneus bone shape on the risk of pressure ulcer formation. It relies on FE modeling of the heel's soft tissues including the main biomechanical structures from the foot to the knee. The influence of 18 different shapes of calcaneus bones on the tissues deformation has been studied while the virtual leg was resting on a cushion with different levels of stiffness. The FE model of the heel is composed of four different soft tissue layers: namely the skin, fat, Achilles tendon, and muscles of the lower leg. Each of these layers follows a Neo Hookean constitutive law with different mechanical parameters. The bones of the lower leg are integrated in the model and coupled to soft tissues.

Results indicate that some shapes of the calcaneus bone induce higher risks for the development of posterior heel pressure ulcer. Two criteria were monitored during the FE simulations: (1) the volume of the largest cluster (with VM strains over 20 or 50%) and (2) the maximum VM strains. The results are presented in Table 1. The figures suggest that this influence is dependent of how the supporting cushion is inflated. The average deviations range from 11.8 to 68.4 ppt for the cluster volume and from 2.9 to 10.4 ppt for the mean maximum VM strain for the five different types of cushions. This indicates to which extent the calcaneus bone geometry has an influence on the risk of pressure ulcer creation since it is linked to the maximum strains in the soft tissues. This study additionally points out that various cushion pressures lead to different risks of pressure ulcer creation. A soft cushion does not seem to be a source of risk as concerns short term pressure ulcers (even though long term risk is present for 10 of the patients), while cushions uniformly mildly inflated or mildly inflated under one of the sections of the leg all lead to a risk of pressure ulcer creation in a time period around 2 h (since the 20% VM strain threshold is reached in all cases.¹² Even more important, this study shows that with a cushion highly inflated underneath the heel, there is a risk of short term pressure ulcer creation (since the 50% VM strain threshold¹² is reached for 6 of the patients).



The patient-specific biomechanical model of the lower leg presented here could provide insight on the behavior of soft tissues resting on cushions with different stiffness settings by estimating and localizing the higher strains inside the lower leg and on its surface. Consequently, when coupled to the output of a pressure sensor inserted between the lower leg and the cushion, our model could determine if pressure ulcers may or may not appear for a given subject. This modeling technique could be used to control a pneumatic prevention device for patients in reanimation or geriatric units.

Several issues need to be solved before achieving efficient pressure ulcer prevention. It seems that the location and shape of the bony structures are a key point in the process of pressure ulcer creation. Therefore, using a patient-specific model would be the first step to achieve to claim ulcer prevention. This will have twofolds: modeling the anatomy of each patient, and integrating the individual's soft tissues mechanical properties. Finally, and most importantly, for a daily prevention of pressure ulcers, it is crucial to be able to compute the simulations presented above in a short time since Loerakker et al.¹² introduced the fact that ulcers can be created in less than 10 min with strains over 50%. With a computation time around 70 min, our model is far from reaching this goal. It will therefore be crucial to speed up the simulation time, either by investigating parallel computing, by reducing the number of elements or by pre-computing the simulations and using them as a library of possible cases.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is partly funded by the 2010 ANR Tec-San IDS project, by the CAMI Labex (ANR-11-LABX-0004) and by the Institut Universitaire de France.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Some authors are involved with the TexiSense Company (http://www.texisense.com/home_en).

REFERENCES

- ¹Armstrong, D. G., and L. A. Lavery. Evidence-based options for off-loading diabetic wounds. *Clin. Podiatr. Med. Surg.* 15:5–104, 1998.
- ²Bonet, J., and R. D. Wood. Nonlinear Continuum Mechanics for Finite Element Analysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008.

- ³Chen, W. M., T. Lee, P. Vee-Sin Lee, and S. J. Lee. Effects of internal stress concentrations in plantar soft-tissue—preliminary three-dimensional finite element analysis. *Med. Eng. Phys.* 32:324–331, 2010.
- ⁴Cheung, J. T. M., M. Zhang, and K. N. An. Effect of Achilles tendon loading on plantar fascia tension in the standing foot. *Clin. Biomech.* 21:194–203, 2006.
- ⁵Driver, V. R., M. Fabbi, L. A. Lavery, and G. Gibbons. The costs of diabetic foot: the economic case for the limb salvage team. *J. Am. Podiatr. Med. Assoc.* 100(5):335–341, 2010.
- ⁶Gefen, A. The biomechanics of heel ulcers. J. Tissue Viability 19:124–131, 2010.
- ⁷Harless E. The static moments of the component masses of the human body. *Trans. Math-Phys. R. Bavarian Acad. Sci.* 8(1,2):9–96, 257–294, 1860.
- ⁸Ledoux, W. R., D. F. Meany, and H. J. Hillstrom. A quasilinear, viscoelastic, structural model of the plantar soft tissue with frequency sensitive damping properties. *J. Biomech. Eng.* 126:1–7, 2004.
- ⁹Linder-Ganz, E., N. Shabshin, Y. Itzchak, Z. Yizhar, I. Siev-Ner, and A. Gefen. Strains and stresses in sub-dermal tissues of the buttocks are greater in paraplegics than in healthy during sitting. *J. Biomech.* 41:567–580, 2008.
- ¹⁰Lloyd, J. E., I. Stavness, and S. Fels. Artisynth: A Fast Interactive Biomechanical Modeling Toolkit Combining Multibody and Finite Element Simulation. Soft Tissue Biomechanical Modeling for Computer Assisted Surgery, Studies in Mechanobiology, Tissue Engineering and Biomaterials, Vol. 11. Berlin: Springer, pp. 355–394, 2012.
- ¹¹Lobos, C., Y. Payan, and N. Hitschfeld. Techniques for the generation of 3D Finite Element Meshes of human organs. Informatics in Oral Medicine: Advanced Techniques in Clinical and Diagnostic Tech. Hershey, PA: Medical Information Science Reference, pp. 126–158, 2010.

- ¹²Loerakker, S., E. Manders, G. J. Strijkers, K. Nicolay, F. P. T. Baaijens, D. L. Bader, and C. W. J. Oomens. The effects of deformation, ischaemia and reperfusion on the development of muscle damage during prolonged loading. *J. Appl. Phys.* 111(4):1168–1177, 2011.
- ¹³Luboz, V., A. Perrier, I. Stavness, J. E. Lloyd, M. Bucki, F. Cannard, B. Diot, N. Vuillerme, and Y. Payan. Foot ulcer prevention using biomechanical modeling. *Comput. Methods Biomech. Biomed. Eng. Imaging Visual. (CMBBE: I&V)* 2(4):189–196, 2014.
- ¹⁴Mueller, M. J. Etiology, evaluation, and treatment of the neuropathic foot. *Crit. Rev. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* 3:289–309, 1992.
- ¹⁵Oomens, C. W. J., O. F. J. T. Bressers, E. M. H. Bosboom, C. V. C. Bouten, and D. L. Bader. Can loaded interface characteristics influence strain distributions in muscle adjacent to bony prominences? *Comput. Methods Biomech. Biomed. Eng.* 6(3):171–180, 2003.
- ¹⁶Perneger, T. V., C. Héliot, A. C. Raë, F. Borst, and J. M. Gaspoz. Hospital acquired pressure ulcers. Risk factors and use of preventive devices. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 158(17):1940–1945, 1998.
- ¹⁷Reiber, G. E. Epidemiology of Foot Ulcerations and Amputations in Diabetes (6th ed.). St. Louis: Mosby, 2010.
- ¹⁸Sopher, R., J. Nixon, E. Mc Ginnis, and A. Gefen. The influence of foot posture, support stiffness, heel pad loading and tissue mechanical properties on biomechanical factors associated with a risk of heel ulceration. J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater. 4:572–582, 2011.
- ¹⁹Tenenbaum, S., N. Shabshin, A. Levy, A. Herman, and A. Gefen. Effects of foot posture and heel padding devices on soft tissue deformations under the heel in supine position in males: MRI studies. *J. Rehabil. Res. Dev.* 50(8):1149–1156, 2013.

